

1 SANDEEP SETH (SBN 195914)

2 ss@sethlaw.com

3 SETH LAW OFFICES

4 Two Allen Center

5 1200 Smith Street, Suite 1600

6 Houston, Texas 77002

7 Telephone No.: (713) 244-5017

8 Facsimile No.: (713) 244-5018

9 ROBERT J. YORIO (SBN 93178)

10 yorio@carrferrell.com

11 STACEY M. TAM (SBN 292982)

12 stam@carrferrell.com

13 CARR & FERRELL LLP

14 120 Constitution Drive

15 Menlo Park, California 94025

16 Telephone No.: (650) 812-3400

17 Facsimile No.: (650) 812-3444

18 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant

19 SENTIUS INTERNATIONAL, LLC

20 ZOHO CORPORATION,

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 SENTIUS INTERNATIONAL, LLC

24 Defendant.

25 SENTIUS INTERNATIONAL, LLC,

26 Counterclaimant,

27 v.

28 ZOHO CORPORATION and ZOHO  
CORPORATION PVT., LTD.

Counter-Defendants.

CASE NO. 4:19-cv-00001-YGR

**DECLARATION OF ROBERT J. YORIO IN  
SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT AND  
COUNTERCLAIMANT SENTIUS  
INTERNATIONAL LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
REQUEST PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL  
RULE 7-11 TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATIONS  
GOVERNING BOTH PARTIES' CLAIM  
CONSTRUCTION REPLY BRIEFS**

DATE: March 18, 2020

TIME: 9:30 a.m.

COURTROOM: 1

JUDGE: Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

1 I, Robert J. Yorio, declare:

2 1. I am one of the attorneys of record for Defendant and Counterclaimant, Sentius  
3 International, LLC (“Sentius”) in this action. I have prepared this declaration in support of  
4 Defendant and Counterclaimant, Sentius International, LLC’s (“Sentius”) Administrative  
5 Request Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11 to exceed page limitations governing both parties  
6 Claim Construction Reply Briefs. The following facts and documents set out herein are within  
7 my knowledge, and if called as a witness to testify herein, I could and would competently testify  
8 to the truth thereto.

9 1. There are ten (10) terms proposed for construction covering two (2) patents as set  
10 forth in the parties’ Joint Claim Construction Chart attached as Appendix B to the Joint Claim  
11 Construction and Prehearing Statement filed on January 6, 2020 (Dkt. 49-1). The Reply Brief  
12 will address all ten (10) terms across both patents and the intrinsic and extrinsic evidence  
13 applicable to each term. This technical analysis will be accompanied by a discussion of the  
14 application of a number of patent law principles to the construction of the claim terms. The  
15 combination of Sentius’ factual analysis and legal argument will cause its Reply Brief to exceed  
16 the 15-page limit set forth in Civil Local Rule 7-4(b).

17 2. On February 20, 2020, I conferred with Ryan Marton, Lead Counsel for Zoho  
18 about the subject matter of this Motion. He gave his consent to our request to increase the page  
19 limitation applicable to the Reply Briefs from 15 pages to 19 pages. I proposed that the increased  
20 page limits apply to both sides and Mr. Marton agreed.

21 3. The parties agreed to a Stipulation and (Proposed Order) that sets forth this  
22 agreement and accompanies the Administrative Motion.

23  
24 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is  
25 true and correct.

26 Executed on this 20th day of February 2020 at Menlo Park, CA.

27 \_\_\_\_\_ /s/ Robert J. Yorio \_\_\_\_\_  
28 ROBERT J. YORIO